

PUBLICATION ETHICS POLICY

Bulgarian Journal of Public Health

The Publication Ethics Policy of the *Bulgarian Journal of Public Health*, published by the National Center for Public Health and Analyses (NCPHA), is based on internationally recognized standards of ethical publishing and the guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), to which the journal adheres. This policy establishes principles of good practice and defines standards of ethical conduct for all participants involved in the publication process, including editors, authors, and reviewers.

All parties are expected to comply strictly with these standards and to perform their responsibilities with integrity, professionalism, and respect for academic values.

Ethical Responsibilities of the Editorial Board

Editors and members of the Editorial Board evaluate submitted manuscripts solely on the basis of their scientific merit, originality, clarity, and relevance to the journal's scope.

Publication decisions are based on the results of the double-anonymous peer review process and the academic contribution of the manuscript to the relevant scientific field.

The Editorial Board may reject a manuscript if identified weaknesses, inaccuracies, or methodological shortcomings are not adequately addressed.

Editors must not participate in decisions regarding manuscripts where a conflict of interest exists, including competitive, collaborative, financial, institutional, or personal relationships with the authors or their affiliated institutions.

Editors must ensure the confidentiality of all submitted manuscripts and related communications. Submitted materials may be discussed only with authorized reviewers and the respective authors.

The anonymity of both authors and reviewers within the double-anonymous review process must be strictly maintained.

Editors ensure that all manuscripts considered for publication are reviewed by qualified experts in the relevant field.

The Editorial Board protects the confidentiality of personal data. Where research involves human participants, authors must provide evidence of written informed consent when applicable.

Ethical Responsibilities of Authors

Authors must ensure that their submitted work is entirely original. Any use of another person's work, ideas, data, or text must be appropriately cited and clearly acknowledged.

Plagiarism in any form—including copying, substantial paraphrasing without attribution, or presenting others' research results as one's own—constitutes unethical conduct and is grounds for rejection.

Authors must ensure that all data presented are accurate, authentic, and not fabricated, falsified, or misleading. Any deliberate misrepresentation of research findings constitutes serious ethical misconduct. Authors must not submit the same manuscript to more than one journal simultaneously, nor publish substantially identical material in multiple venues without proper justification and disclosure.

All listed authors must have made a significant intellectual contribution to the research and the preparation of the manuscript. The order of authorship should reflect the relative contributions of the co-authors, as agreed among them. The corresponding author is responsible for ensuring: - the accuracy and completeness of the author list; - that all co-authors have approved the final version of the manuscript; - that all co-authors agree to its submission and publication.

Authors bear full responsibility for the content of their published work, including compliance with intellectual property rights.

Authors must disclose all sources of funding, including institutional, governmental, or private support, and describe the role of the funding body in the research process, if applicable.

If authors identify significant errors or inaccuracies in their published work, they must promptly notify the Editorial Board and cooperate in issuing corrections, clarifications, or retractions when necessary. Authors are expected to respond to reviewer comments and editorial requests in a timely and constructive manner and to submit revised manuscripts accordingly.

Ethical Responsibilities of Reviewers

Peer review supports editorial decision-making and contributes to improving the scientific quality of manuscripts. Peer review is an essential component of formal scholarly communication and lies at the heart of the scientific method.

Manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Information obtained through peer review must not be disclosed or used for personal advantage.

Reviews should be objective, comprehensive, and evidence-based. Criticism should be expressed clearly and professionally. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate.

Reviewers must decline to review a manuscript if a conflict of interest exists, including recent collaboration, financial relationships, personal or familial connections, or direct academic competition with the authors. If a reviewer recognizes a potential conflict during the review process, they must immediately inform the editor and withdraw.

Reviewers should accept assignments only if they possess the necessary expertise and can complete the review within the specified timeframe. Otherwise, they must promptly notify the editor and withdraw from the review process.

Reviewers should alert the editor to any substantial similarity with other published works, citation irregularities, or suspected ethical violations.

The peer review process is voluntary and unpaid.

Publication Procedures and Policies

The *Bulgarian Journal of Public Health* is an electronic, open-access publication of the NCPHA. All published issues are freely available online. Authors are not charged submission or publication fees. The journal does not provide financial compensation to authors. Each author receives an electronic PDF copy of the published article.

The Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board ensure transparency, fairness, and integrity in the publication process. Editorial decisions are based exclusively on scientific merit, originality, clarity, and relevance, as determined through the double-anonymous peer review procedure.

Authors have the right to appeal editorial decisions. In such cases, an additional anonymous reviewer may be appointed, and the case will be discussed by the Editorial Board.

All submitted manuscripts are screened for plagiarism using appropriate similarity-detection software. Manuscripts demonstrating a similarity index exceeding 15% may be rejected unless adequately justified.

The publisher is responsible for technical editing, formatting, and proofreading. Authors are provided with the opportunity to review proofs prior to publication.

Author guidelines are published on the journal's website and are regularly updated.

Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) Tools

Artificial intelligence tools, including large language models (e.g., ChatGPT), cannot be listed as authors. In accordance with COPE guidance, AI systems do not meet authorship criteria because they cannot assume ethical or legal responsibility for published content.

Authors who use AI tools to assist in generating text, images, data analysis, or other research components must disclose such use transparently in their manuscript. The authors remain fully responsible for the accuracy, integrity, and originality of all submitted content, including content generated or assisted by AI tools.

Conflicts of Interest

A conflict of interest exists when financial, professional, institutional, or personal relationships could inappropriately influence research conduct or interpretation.

Examples include: - employment or consultancy relationships; - financial compensation or funding; - personal relationships; - academic competition or rivalry.

Authors must disclose all relevant conflicts of interest.

Conflicts between authors and reviewers are mitigated through the double-anonymous peer review process. Reviewers who identify a potential conflict must decline participation.
